

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/824,647 | 04/04/2001 | Ginette Serrero | A7542.0000/P001-E 2662 | |
| 7 | 590 07/15/2003 | | | |
| James W. Brady, Jr. DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP 2101 L. Street NW Washington, DC 20037-1526 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | YU, MISOOK | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | · | | |
| | | | 1642 | 12 |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 07/15/2003 | 12 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| * | | • | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | | 09/824,647 | SERRERO, GINETTE | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | MISOOK YU, Ph.D. | 1642 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum strong period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 M | <u>March 2003</u> . | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ | This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This | is action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) <u>28-75</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>28-49 and 56-75</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>50</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7)⊠ | Claim(s) 51-55 is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S | ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | |
| 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>1.</u> | 5) Notice of Informal | y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |
| J.S. Patent and Tr | ademark Office | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/824,647

Art Unit: 1642

The Examiner of your application in the USPTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Examiner Misook Yu.

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 28-75 are pending and examined on merits.

Information Disclosure Statement

The prosecution history indicates the information disclosure statements (IDS) submitted on 11-15-2001 and 5-3-2002 were considered by the previous examiner. The IDS filed on 04/04/2001 (Paper No. 1) and filed on 6-17-2003 are considered with this Office action. The signed copy is attached with this Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The rejection of claims under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention is most because applicant cancelled all the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The rejection of claims under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shoyab et al (WO 91/15510) is most because applicant cancelled all the claims.

NEW GROUNDS OF REJECTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claim 50 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipate by WO 94/22478 (Oct. 13, 1994).

The claim is drawn to method of producing an antibody having anti-tumorigenic activity, wherein said antibody does not necessarily bind to SEQ ID NO:16. The claim as written reads on any method of producing an anti-tumorigenic antibody since the claim recite "a protein encoded by at least a portion of SEQ ID NO:16" and this could be interpreted as any protein sharing one amino acid with SEQ ID NO:16. The claim as written reads on the method of producing anti-p185 antibody disclosed in WO 94/22478 at page 7-11. WO 94/22478 teaches the antibody has anti-tumor effect. See Example 2 at page 11-14. This rejection affects all dependent claims.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 28-49, and 56-75 are allowed. Instant SEQ ID NO:16 was disclosed well before the effective filing date of instant application, for example in WO 91/15510. Method of making monoclonal or any other various antibodies against a known protein sequence were known before the effective filing date of the instant application, too. However, antibody against SEQ ID NO:16 has anti-tumorigenic activity is unexpected result, which was not contemplated by WO 91/15510 or US PAT 5,416,192.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MISOOK YU, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 703-308-2454. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 A.M. to 5:30 P.M., every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony C Caputa can be reached on 703-308-3995. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3014 for regular communications and 703-872-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

MARY E. MOSHER
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Misook Yu July 9, 2003